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Graphs in the language of matrices

- Sparse array representation => space efficient
- Sparse matrix-matrix multiplication => work efficient
- Three possible levels of parallelism: searches, vertices, edges
- Highly-parallel implementation for Betweenness Centrality*

*: A measure of influence in graphs, based on shortest paths
Coarsening via sparse matrix-matrix products

The GraphBLAS effort
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Abstract-- It is our view that the state of the art in constructing a large collection of graph algorithms in terms of linear algebraic operations is mature enough to support the emergence of a standard set of primitive building blocks. This paper is a position paper defining the problem and announcing our intention to launch an open effort to define this standard.

- The GraphBLAS Forum: http://graphblas.org
- Graphs: Architectures, Programming, and Learning (GrAPL @IPDPS): http://hpc.pnl.gov/grapl/
GraphBLAS Status: C API 1.2 released and in use

• Implementations of the GraphBLAS C specification:
  – SuiteSparse  http://faculty.cse.tamu.edu/davis/suitesparse.html
  – IBM  https://github.com/IBM/ibmgraphblas
  – Test suite for validating an implementation of the C-spec from SEI/CMU
    … to be released “soon”

• Systems using the GraphBLAS
  – RedisGraph v1.0 preview release:
    o RedisGraph is a graph database architecture implemented as a Redis Module, using GraphBLAS sparse matrices for internal data representation and linear algebra for query execution.
    o  https://redislabs.com/blog/release-redisgraph-v1-0-preview/
  – Lincoln Labs GraphProcessor designed around the GraphBLAS.

• C++ bindings to the GraphBLAS
  – GBTL from SEI/CMU:  https://github.com/cmu-sei/gbtl
  – GraphBLAST for GPUs:  http://github.com/gunrock/graphblast
GraphBLAST preliminary performance

[contact me for preprint]

Shown applications (more implemented)
- Breadth-first-search (BFS)
- Single-source shortest-path (SSSP)
- PageRank (PR)
- Triangle counting (TC)

Design principles:
1. Exploit input sparsity => direction-optimization
2. Exploit output sparsity => masking
3. Proper load-balancing => key for GPU implementations
GraphBLAS C API

• A binding of the GraphBLAS math to the C programming language.

• Requires C99 extended with function polymorphism based on static-types and number-of-parameters.
  – All modern C compilers in common use today support these extensions

• Basic include file with function prototypes, types, and constants
  – #include <GraphBLAS.h>

• Includes a few types and opaque objects (e.g. matrices and vectors) to give implementations maximum flexibility

  GrB_Index ➔ An integer type used to set dimensions and index into arrays
  GrB_Matrix ➔ A 2D sparse array, row indices, column indices and values
  GrB_Vector ➔ A 1D sparse Array

  – … plus additional opaque objects we’ll describe later (descriptors, semirings, binary operators, and unary operators)
GraphBLAS C API: Basic definitions

• **Opaque object**: An object manipulated strictly through the GraphBLAS API whose implementation is not defined by the GraphBLAS specification.

• **Transparent object**: an object whose structure is fully exposed to the programmer. E.g.: an array of tuples \(<i, j, value>\)

• **Method**: Any C function that manipulates a GraphBLAS opaque object.

• **Domain**: the set of available values used for the elements of matrices, the elements of vectors, and when defining operators.
  – Examples are \(\text{GrB\_UINT64}, \text{GrB\_INT32}, \text{GrB\_BOOL}, \text{GrB\_FP32}\)

• **Operation**: a method that corresponds to an operation defined in the GraphBLAS math spec. [http://www.mit.edu/~kepner/GraphBLAS/GraphBLAS-Math-release.pdf](http://www.mit.edu/~kepner/GraphBLAS/GraphBLAS-Math-release.pdf)
  – Examples: matrix multiply, matrix vector multiply, reduction, apply
Design principles of the GraphBLAS C API

• Object-oriented
  – All objects are opaque, represented by handles
  – Only GraphBLAS methods can manipulate those objects

• Separation of data (matrices and vectors) and operations
  – Only explicitly defined elements of a matrix or vector have values
  – The “structural zeros” are undefined
  – Any matrix/vector can be used with any semiring of compatible domain
  – Semantics are defined so that the “zero” value does not matter (most of the time)

• Blocking and nonblocking modes
  – Blocking: each method completes before returning
  – Nonblocking: methods may return early (must verify correctness of call)
  – Facilitated by opaqueness of objects

• Procedural specification
  – Semantics of each method is defined through process to compute output
  – Any implementation that produces the same output is conforming
GraphBLAS C API Spec (http://graphblas.org)

- **Goal:** A crucial piece of the GraphBLAS effort is to translate the mathematical specification to an actual Application Programming Interface (API) that
  i. is faithful to the mathematics as much as possible, and
  ii. enables efficient implementations on modern hardware.
- **Impact:** All graph and machine learning algorithms that can be expressed in the language of linear algebra
- **Innovation:** Function signatures (e.g. mxm, vxm, assign, extract), parallelism constructs (blocking v. non-blocking), fundamental objects (masks, matrices, vectors, descriptors), a hierarchy of algebras (functions, monoids, and semiring)

\[
C(-M) \oplus = A^T \oplus . \odot B^T
\]

```c
GrB_info GrB_mxm(GrB_Matrix *C, // destination
    const GrB_Matrix Mask,
    const GrB_BinaryOp accum,
    const GrB_Semiring op,
    const GrB_Matrix A,
    const GrB_Matrix B
    [, const Descriptor desc]);
```

### Examples of semirings in graph algorithms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Real field: ((\mathbb{R}, +, \times))</th>
<th>Classical numerical linear algebra</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boolean algebra: (({0, 1},</td>
<td>, &amp;))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical semiring: ((\mathbb{R} \cup {\infty}, \min, +))</td>
<td>Shortest paths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((S, \text{select}, \text{select}))</td>
<td>Select subgraph, or contract nodes to form quotient graph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(edge/vertex attributes, vertex data aggregation, edge data processing)</td>
<td>Schema for user-specified computation at vertices and edges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((\mathbb{R}, \max, +))</td>
<td>Graph matching &amp; network alignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((\mathbb{R}, \min, \times))</td>
<td>Maximal independent set</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Shortened semiring notation**: \((\text{Set}, \text{Add}, \text{Multiply})\). Both identities omitted.
- **Add**: Traverses edges, **Multiply**: Combines edges/paths at a vertex
- Neither add nor multiply needs to have an inverse.
- Both *add* and *multiply* are **associative**, *multiply distributes* over *add*
Breadth-first search in the language of matrices

from

\[ A^T \]

to
Particular semiring operations:
**Multiply:** select 2nd
**Add:** minimum

from

parents:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
7 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

to

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
7 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{bmatrix}
A^T \\
X \\
A^{TX}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{array}
\]
Select vertex with minimum label as parent.

parents:

from

\[ A^T \]

to

\[ X \]

\[ A^{TX} \]
• Masks avoid formation of temporaries and can enable automatic direction optimization
• These footballs are nonzeros that are \textit{masked out} by the parents array
BFS in GraphBLAS with Masks

GrB_Vector q; // vertices visited in each level
GrB_Vector_new(&q, GrB_BOOL, n); // Vector<bool> q(n) = false
GrB_Vector_setElement(q, (bool)true, s); // q[s] = true, false everywhere else

GrB_Monoid Lor; // Logical—or monoid
GrB_Monoid_new(&Lor, GrB_LOR, false);

GrB_Semiring Boolean; // Boolean semiring
GrB_Semiring_new(&Boolean, Lor, GrB_LAND);

GrB_Descriptor desc; // Descriptor for vxm
GrB_Descriptor_new(&desc);
GrB_Descriptor_set(desc, GrB_MASK, GrB_SCMP); // invert the mask
GrB_Descriptor_set(desc, GrB_OUTP, GrB_REPLACE); // clear the output before assignment

GrB_UnaryOp apply_level;
GrB_UnaryOp_new(&apply_level, return_level, GrB_INT32, GrB_BOOL);

/
* BFS traversal and label the vertices.
*/
level = 0;
GrB_Index nvals;
do {
  ++level; // next level (start with 1)
  GrB_apply(*v, GrB_NULL, GrB_PLUS_INT32, apply_level, q, GrB_NULL); // v[q] = level
  GrB_vxm(q, *v, GrB_NULL, Boolean, q, A, desc); // q[!v] = q || B & A; finds all the
  // unvisited successors from current q
  GrB_Vector_nvals(&nvals, q);
} while (nvals); // if there is no successor in q, we are done.
Push-pull ≡ column-row matvec!

Yang, C., Buluc, A. and Owens, J.D., Implementing Push-Pull Efficiently in GraphBLAS. ICPP’18
Masks make “pull” implementable in GraphBLAS

- **Pull** is better for sufficiently sparse masks; **push** otherwise
- **Claim**: “direction optimization” would have been discovered automatically by the GraphBLAS runtime if we designed the interface back half a decade ago.
Machine Learning relies a lot on Linear Algebra too

Higher-level machine learning tasks

- Logistic Regression, Support Vector Machines
- Dimensionality Reduction (NMF, CX, PCA)
- Clustering (e.g., MCL, Spectral Clustering)
- Partial Correlation Estimation (CONCORD)
- Deep Learning (Neural Nets)

Graph/Sparse/Dense BLAS functions (in increasing arithmetic intensity)
Execution modes

• A GraphBLAS program defines a DAG of operations.
• Objects are defined by the sequence of GraphBLAS method calls, but the value of the object is not assured until a GraphBLAS method queries its state.
• This gives an implementation flexibility to optimize the execution (fusing methods, replacing method sequences by more efficient ones, etc.)

GrB_op1(A);
GrB_op2(B);
GrB_op3(C,A,B);

GrB_op1(A);
GrB_op2(B);
GrB_op3(C,A,B);

• An execution of a GraphBLAS program defines a context for the library.
• The execution runs in one of two modes:
  – **Blocking mode** … executes methods in program order with each method completing before the next is called
  – **Non-Blocking mode** … methods launched in order. Complete in any order consistent with the DAG. Objects do not exit in fully defined state until queried.
• Most implementations only support Blocking mode.
  SuiteSparse:GraphBLAS uses nonblocking for assign and setElement
Opportunities in non-blocking mode

- Suppose you are solving a linear system on the Kronecker product graph.
- Actually happens when you are computing similarity between two graphs.
- Using “graph kernels” enable machine learning on graph structures data, such as proteins and other molecules.

\[
C = A \otimes B \equiv \begin{pmatrix}
    a_{1,1}B & a_{1,2}B & \ldots & a_{1,m}B \\
    a_{2,1}B & a_{2,2}B & \ldots & a_{2,m}B \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    a_{n,1}B & a_{n,2}B & \ldots & a_{n,m}B
\end{pmatrix}
\]

This matrix has dimension \(N*K \times M*L\).

- The Kronecker product itself has huge memory footprint and lots of redundancy (NK+ML dimension but NKML apparent values).
Opportunities in non-blocking mode

• The only way to write this in GraphBLAS or any other library we know of:

```c
GrB_kronecker(C, ..., A, B, ...); // C=A⊗B
GrB_mxv(y, ..., C, x, ...); // y=C x
```

• What we would rather call:

```c
GrB_kronxv(y, ..., A, B, x ...); // y=(A⊗B) x
```

• But that would result in API bloat and would lead us to a rabbit hole.

• There are many other examples:
  – KFAC (optimization method for deep learning),
  – Triple matrix product (graph contraction and AMG restriction),
  – Triangle counting (who needs the list of triangles when all we need is the count)

• **Solution**: A JIT that performs automatic operator fusion
New operations and methods
• Kronecker product (matrices)
• Apply w/ binary op. and scalar
• Edge (element) removal
• Resizing matrices/vectors

New built-in operators, descriptors, and other things
• Structure-only masks
• Bitwise binary ops for integers
• GrB_LXNOR boolean binary op
• GrB_ANY binary op/monoid
• Built-in descriptors
• Built-in monoids and semirings

Specification clarifications
• Language regarding “implied zero”
• Disclaimers against over-specification
• Relaxing reduction to scalar specification
• Clarifying distributive requirements
• Improving language about completions
• Removing language about annihilators
• Clarifying init() and finalize() errors
• Clarify aliasing requirements of user-defined operators
• Define value of all enums

New and updated examples
• …but more in LAGraph
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[Extra] Some applications
Markov Cluster Algorithm (MCL)

Widely popular and successful algorithm for discovering clusters in protein interaction and protein similarity networks

At each iteration:

Step 1 (Expansion): Squaring the matrix while pruning (a) small entries, (b) denser columns

Naïve implementation: sparse matrix-matrix product (SpGEMM), followed by column-wise top-K selection and column-wise pruning

Step 2 (Inflation): taking powers entry-wise
A combined expansion and pruning step

- $b$: number of columns in the output constructed at once
  - Smaller $b$: less parallelism, memory efficient ($b=1$ is equivalent to sparse matrix-sparse vector multiplication used in MCL)
  - Larger $b$: more parallelism, memory intensive
A combined expansion and pruning step

- \( b \): number of columns in the output constructed at once
  - HipMCL selects \( b \) dynamically as permitted by the available memory
  - The algorithm works in \( h = N/b \) phases where \( N \) is the number of columns (vertices in the network) in the matrix
HipMCL: High-performance MCL

- MCL process is both **computationally expensive** and **memory hungry**, limiting the sizes of networks that can be clustered.
- HipMCL overcomes such limitation via **sparse parallel algorithms**.
- **Up to 1000X times faster** than original MCL with same accuracy.

---

New shared-memory SpGEMM kernels

• Compression ratio (CR): \( \text{flops/nnz(C)} \)
• Combinatorial BLAS and HipMCL uses heap
• Stable performance but significant gap in high CR
• HipMCL inputs have high CR

We will integrate hash algorithms to CombBLAS and HipMCL

SpGEMM on GPUs: tested libraries

- **bhsparse** [1]
  - Hybrid method for result matrix pre-allocation
    - 3 strategies (heap-based,
  - Parallel insert operations via fast merging
  - Heuristic-based load balancing (bins)

- **rmerge2** [2]
  - Iterative row-merging
  - Aggregate duplicate column indices via warp shuffles (merge $W = 32$ rows)
  - Requires no shared memory but many registers
  - Grouping into cases for load balancing

- **n sparse** [3]
  - Linear probing shared-memory hash table
  - Row grouping based on number of nonzero elements or intermediate products (load balancing)
  - Warp shuffle and shared memory for accumulations
  - Concurrent kernel execution via streams
  - Performance might differ depending on
    - Compression rate
    - Matrix structure
    - GPU microarchitecture


If these authors implemented the standard GrB_mxm, things would be much more portable. But we are still doing great compared to 5+ years ago when the SpGEMM primitive wasn’t popular.
Parallel connected components for cluster identification (after MCL iterations converge): Awerbuch-Shiloach algorithm using SpMSpV and a few other GraphBLAS operations.

Impact: More than 2x faster connected component identification across different scales. Orders of magnitude faster at large concurrencies, enabling continued scaling of HipMCL by removing this potential Amdahl’s bottleneck.

A. Azad, and A. Buluc, LACC: A Linear-Algebraic Algorithm for Finding Connected Components in Distributed Memory. IPDPS, 2019
[Extra] Some more kernels
Sparse Matrix – Multi Vector Multiplication (SpMM) on the GPU

- Thinking about TLP and ILP is the right way to think about sparse matrix multiplication
- Isolate *memory reads from compute from memory writes*, because this allows ILP to materialize

(a) Sparse matrix A

1. T0 broadcasts 0 to T1, T2, ..., T7
2. T0, T1, T2, ..., T7 do coalesced memory access for row 0

(b) Dense matrix B

Carl Yang, Aydin Buluç, and John D Owens. Design principles for sparse matrix multiplication on the GPU. In Euro-Par, 2018. *Distinguished Paper and Best Artifact Awards*
Sparse Matrix – Multi Vector Multiplication (SpMM) on the GPU

The paper also proposes a merge-based algorithm for sparser inputs

Carl Yang, Aydin Buluç, and John D Owens. Design principles for sparse matrix multiplication on the GPU. In Euro-Par, 2018. Distinguished Paper and Best Artifact Awards
A work-efficient parallel algorithm for sparse matrix-sparse vector multiplication (SpMSpV)

- **Goal:** A scalable SpMSpV algorithm without doing more work on higher concurrency
- **Application:** Breadth-first search, graph matching, support vector machines, etc.
- **Algorithmic innovation:**
  - Attains work-efficiency by arranging necessary columns of the matrix into buckets where each bucket is processed by a single thread
  - Avoids synchronization by row-wise partitioning of the matrix on the fly
- **Performance:**
  - First ever work-efficient algorithm for SpMSpV that attains up to 15x speedup on a 24-core Intel Ivy Bridge processor and up to 49x speedup on a 64-core KNL processor
  - Up to an order of magnitude faster than its competitors, especially for sparser vector

A.Azad, A. Buluç. A work-efficient parallel sparse matrix-sparse vector multiplication algorithm. IPDPS’17